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Manufacturing remains a route to good jobs for a smaller, more skilled workforce.

The industry is bouncing back from the Great Recession, but the jobs may not follow.

Changes in what people purchase and how things are produced drove manufacturing’s evolution.

Manufacturing’s evolution is tightly linked to automation, globalization, and the growth of a networked economy.

Manufacturing employment has declined as overall output and productivity have risen.

Because of structural change, there is a new balance between production and non-production jobs in manufacturing.

Workers with postsecondary education now outnumber workers with a high school diploma or less in manufacturing.

Workers with postsecondary education have taken a larger share of both production and non-production jobs.

The manufacturing workforce, once dominated by workers with a high school diploma or less, now employs workers with a diverse set of credentials and skills.

Good jobs in manufacturing have declined, and workers with a high school diploma or less have borne the brunt of the reductions.

Losses of good jobs due to structural change in manufacturing have varied by race or ethnicity and sex.

The structure of good jobs has shifted in favor of those with postsecondary education.

Manufacturing workers with a non-degree workforce credential are more likely to have a good job than those without one.

Manufacturing, despite its decline, is still a source of good jobs for workers without a bachelor’s degree.

While good jobs in manufacturing still exist for some workers, overall economic opportunity has shifted elsewhere in the economy.
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INTRODUCTION

Manufacturing evokes powerful emotions in American communities. The famous sign on a Delaware River bridge—“Trenton Makes, the World Takes”—captures the pride of a once-bustling manufacturing city. This confidence was widespread during the heyday of the industrial economy, when making things was part of our national identity. Today, manufacturing still remains a source of pride, though often mixed with nostalgia and economic anxiety, for workers and policymakers.

The story of manufacturing in the American economy is bittersweet. The good news is that increased productivity in manufacturing—the ability to produce more and better goods with fewer workers—benefited consumers across the economy. As cars, computers, and other products became more varied and affordable in the post–World War II era, consumers had more money to spend on goods and services, such as healthcare and recreation. But these productivity gains had a downside: fewer workers were needed to produce the growing stream of manufactured goods. Manufacturing employment declined both absolutely and as a share of all jobs. After reaching a peak of almost 20 million jobs (22% of national employment) in 1979, the industry now accounts for 12.6 million jobs and a much smaller share (9%) of employment. If manufacturing were to claim the same employment share in today’s workforce as it did in 1979, there would be 19 million additional jobs for American workers.

For decades, manufacturing was a large and reliable source of employment, especially for workers with a high school education or less. In 1970, nearly four out of five of all manufacturing jobs (79%) and an even higher proportion of production jobs (92%) went to workers with no more than a high school diploma. High school dropouts alone accounted for more than two out of five manufacturing workers (43%). Young people could leave high school, even without a diploma, and find work in a booming factory or mill.

But this began to change as employers automated routine production functions or shuttered factories altogether. Workers with a high school diploma or less bore the brunt of this decline, losing nearly

1 Carnevale and Rose, The Economy Goes to College, 2015.
five million jobs between 1991 and 2016.² Manufacturing workers—typically men with no more than a high school diploma—became synonymous with displaced workers, those jolted into unemployment by plant closings or mass layoffs. Compared to workers from other industries, manufacturing workers often faced considerable difficulty in finding another job or maintaining their wage level.

After decades of job losses, the manufacturing workforce is no longer dominated by workers with a high school diploma or less. It now provides job opportunities along three educational pathways:

- **The high school pathway** is a well-traveled route for slightly more than two out of five manufacturing workers (43%), down from nearly four out of five workers (79%) in 1970. Workers with no more than a high school diploma fill jobs in production, such as assembly workers and machinists. Production jobs are increasingly segmented into general production and skilled production jobs, such as Computer Numerical Control (CNC) machine operators.³ In many cases workers with a high school diploma or less have worked their way up to serve as first-line supervisors or production managers.

- **The middle-skills pathway** has doubled as a share of the manufacturing workforce since 1970, reaching about a quarter in 2016. This pathway includes workers who have a mix of education and training beyond high school but no bachelor’s degree. Examples include associate’s degrees as well as various types of certificates, certifications, and apprenticeships. Middle-skills workers hold production jobs as well as installation, maintenance, and repair jobs and serve as front-line supervisors and managers.

- **The bachelor’s degree pathway** has grown from 8 percent of all manufacturing jobs in 1970 to represent nearly a third of the manufacturing workforce. More than a fifth (21%) of industry jobs are held by workers with no more than a bachelor’s degree, and 9 percent go to graduate degree holders. Workers with at least a bachelor’s degree make up an increasing proportion of non-production workers, such as managers, business analysts, designers, and industrial engineers, and they have become a slightly larger share of production workers.

---

² This report uses 1991 as a baseline for measuring change because that is the first year in which our primary data source (the US Census Bureau’s Current Population Survey) distinguishes workers with “some college” from those with an associate’s degree. A different data source and a longer time frame are used in some sections to show the change in the composition of the high school-educated workforce.

Manufacturing remains a route to good jobs for a smaller, more skilled workforce.

Few industries have been as affected by automation as manufacturing. Automation initially created new jobs for less-skilled workers and, much later, took them away. In the early 20th century, the introduction of the assembly line boosted factory productivity while creating employment for less-skilled workers, who were hired to perform standardized, repetitive tasks. Technological advances since then have allowed employers to improve the production process, further automate routine tasks, and increase output dramatically with fewer workers. Industrial robots, first introduced in the 1960s, have been used more intensively since their cost began to drop during the 1990s.

Globalization, too, had a deep impact on manufacturing. Increased international trade and offshoring have contributed to a shrinking manufacturing employment base, especially after China joined the World Trade Organization in 2001. Between 2000 and 2016 alone, 61,000 manufacturing establishments disappeared.

The growth of a more integrated, networked global economy with an expanded role for business-to-business services also transformed the industry. Manufacturing firms, like other businesses, have become dependent on finance, logistics, and business services firms to provide accounting, legal, and other specialized services instead of staffing these services in-house. This growth in domestic outsourcing alone can explain as much as 21 percent of the decline in manufacturing employment between 1987 and 2002.

These industry trends all contributed to declining numbers of good jobs (see sidebar on page 4) in manufacturing, especially for workers with a high school diploma or less. Between 1991 and 2016, good manufacturing jobs decreased by 1.6 million. As a result, the route to economic opportunity in manufacturing is not as straightforward as it used to be. In manufacturing as across the workforce, the structure of good jobs has shifted in favor of workers with more education. Workers with a high school diploma or less, who held the largest number of good manufacturing jobs in 1991, held fewer good jobs than workers with a bachelor’s degree by 2016. During this period, workers with a high school diploma or less experienced the greatest decline in good jobs, followed by those with some college but no degree. Meanwhile, workers with associate’s degrees and bachelor’s degrees gained good jobs. Overall, workers with postsecondary education are best positioned to succeed in today’s manufacturing workforce as production and assembly jobs have lost ground to jobs for workers off the factory floor.

---

4 Vlasic, “100 Years Down the Line,” 2013. The trend toward replacement of highly skilled artisans by less skilled “operatives” predated the introduction of the assembly line. This “de-skilling” was accompanied by growth in the ranks of white-collar, more-educated workers during the 19th century. See Katz and Margo, “Technical Change and the Relative Demand for Skilled Labor: The United States in Historical Perspective,” 2013.


Despite its decline, manufacturing remains an important source of economic opportunity, particularly in the 24 states where it is the top industry for good jobs and the 35 states where it is the top industry for good jobs for workers without a bachelor’s degree. While manufacturing will never return to its peak when a third of all workers with a high school diploma or less were employed in the industry, it is still a route to good jobs for a smaller, more skilled workforce.  

**The industry is bouncing back from the Great Recession, but the jobs may not follow.**

Manufacturing output has resumed a steady climb since the Great Recession ended in 2009, and manufacturing employment has slowly begun to recover as well. Adopting a renewed sense of optimism, experts have issued a spate of reports predicting a powerful industry comeback with a rebound in employment.

This faith may be misplaced, however. While an industry recovery is underway, it is unlikely to lead to an employment resurgence that will restore manufacturing to its former glory. Future growth in production jobs is more likely to employ robots than people. Even if output increases dramatically, manufacturing firms are not likely to add large numbers of jobs, because today’s highly automated production methods require fewer production workers and more equipment and technology than the labor-intensive factories of the past. In fact, the industry is expected to shed employment in the next decade, with most of the losses in production occupations sustained by workers with a high school diploma or less.

The manufacturing workforce has been shaped by decades of industry transformation. Modern manufacturing is not just about making things on the factory floor. It requires workers with a diverse set of skills to perform functions such as research and development (R&D), product and production design, marketing and sales, and customer support. While workers with a high school diploma or less dominated the manufacturing workforce of the past, today, even production jobs have begun to shift to workers with postsecondary education. The result is that while manufacturing still has good jobs that pay well, they are now going to a downsized, better-educated workforce.

---

9 In defining a good job, we have chosen levels that are equivalent to a minimum of $17 per hour for a full-time job for younger workers and $22 per hour for older workers. These wage levels are consistent with living wage levels. See Carnevale et al., *Good Jobs that Pay without a BA*, 2017.


Five key trends described in this report define the changing character of the manufacturing workforce:

1. **Manufacturing, once the powerhouse of the industrial economy, now plays a smaller, less central role in an economy dominated by services.** In 1947, manufacturing was responsible for a quarter (25%) of all value added in the economy, more than any other industry. By 2017 it had fallen to 12 percent of all value added. At the same time, professional and business services jumped from just 3 percent of value added in 1947 to 12 percent in 2017, and finance rose from 10 percent to 21 percent.\(^{13}\)

   This shift reflected fundamental changes in the way goods and services are produced, which now involves a complex mix of inputs. A prime example is the food production network. In 2007, just 12 percent of value added came from food producers (5%) and food manufacturers (7%), while a larger proportion (19%) came from advertising, banking, legal services, insurance, real estate, and other business services. Grocery stores, restaurants, and other retailers that connect food producers with consumers contributed an additional 28 percent. Getting food from the farm to the table now involves a complicated chain of industries spanning nearly every part of the economy.\(^{14}\)

   The days when manufacturing was the dominant industry group are fleeting images in the rearview mirror. As a result, the manufacturing workforce is a shadow of what it used to be. It represented about 30 percent of national employment during the 1950s, but it dropped to about 20 percent in 1980 and then to 9 percent in 2016. Manufacturing also accounted for a declining share of good jobs for workers without a bachelor’s degree. In 1991 manufacturing made up more than a quarter (27%) of all good jobs held by workers without a bachelor’s degree. By 2016 its share had dropped to 16 percent.

2. **Manufacturing, despite its decline, is still a source of good jobs for less-educated workers.** In 2016 it still provided more good jobs for workers without a bachelor’s degree than any other industry, with 4.8 million (16%) of all those jobs. It is the top industry for good jobs for workers without a bachelor’s degree in 35 states.\(^{15}\) At every education level, manufacturing workers earn more, on average, than workers in other blue-collar and skilled-services industries.

---

\(^{13}\) The metric of value added, defined as total output in dollars after subtracting the cost of inputs, is often used to show an industry’s importance to the overall economy. See Carnevale and Rose, *The Economy Goes to College*, 2015.

\(^{14}\) Carnevale and Rose, *The Economy Goes to College*, 2015.

\(^{15}\) Carnevale et al., *Good Jobs that Pay without a BA*, 2017.
While there are still good jobs in manufacturing, the structure of economic opportunity has shifted in favor of those with postsecondary education and industry-recognized credentials. Good jobs in non-production functions have gone to workers with bachelor’s degrees, and good jobs in production have shifted toward workers with associate’s degrees. In fact, workers with associate’s degrees stand out as the only group of workers without a bachelor’s degree to experience net gains in good manufacturing jobs. The number of workers with associate’s degrees who had good jobs climbed from 750,000 to nearly 1 million by 2016.

Workers with a bachelor’s degree also have benefited from the new structure of economic opportunity in the manufacturing industry. Good jobs for workers with bachelor’s degrees rose from 2.8 million to 3.6 million between 1991 and 2016, with almost all of the increase in non-production jobs, such as those held by managers, business analysts, software developers, and industrial engineers.

Manufacturing workers, like workers in other industries, now pursue education and training options beyond traditional degrees and diplomas. About 10 percent of manufacturing workers have earned a certificate, which typically recognizes completion of a program of study between high school and the associate’s degree. The same proportion (10%) of manufacturing workers holds an industry certification or license—workforce credentials that are awarded based on performance on a test or other requirements. At all levels of educational attainment, workers who hold an industry certification or license are more likely to hold a good job than those who do not. Workers without a bachelor’s degree get a particularly large boost from having a workforce credential. While those with a high school diploma or less are the least likely to have a good job overall, they are much more likely to have one if they earn a certification or license (59%) than if they do not (41%).

Cronen et al., Adult Training and Education: Results from the National Household Education Survey Program, 2018.
Current trends suggest that there will be no return to the glory days when good manufacturing jobs were plentiful for high school-educated workers. Because of structural changes in the industrial economy, there are simply fewer good manufacturing jobs, and the job opportunities that do exist are going to workers who have postsecondary education.

The future promises more of the same. Manufacturing employment decline is part of a long-term trend that is not likely to turn around. In fact, projections show that manufacturing jobs are expected to decrease by 253,000 net jobs or about 2 percent during the next decade, while total employment in the economy is expected to grow by 8 percent.\(^\text{17}\)

There will also be fewer good jobs in manufacturing. Good manufacturing jobs for workers with a high school diploma or less are expected to decline from 2.5 million in 2017 to 2.3 million in 2027. Workers with bachelor’s degrees will also have 200,000 fewer good jobs. However, workers with middle skills, who often fill more demanding blue-collar and supervisory positions, are expected to gain about 300,000 good jobs by 2027.\(^\text{18}\)

Taken together, these key trends suggest that the days when manufacturing powered the economy are long gone. Today, the industry plays a smaller, less central role in the economy, contributing only 12 percent of all value added. It provides only 9 percent of employment, down from 22 percent in 1979. While it continues to be a top source of good jobs in many states, manufacturing is not expected to be a major job generator in the future, as our projections indicate. The industry’s shrinking presence across the country means that it employs fewer workers, provides fewer good jobs, and creates smaller ripples in the surrounding economy than it did in its glory days.

\(^{17}\) Carnevale et al., *Job Projections and Education Requirements, 2017–2027*, forthcoming. While manufacturing employment is expected to drop, the industry will still be hiring due to the need to replace manufacturing workers who retire or leave the workforce. Historically, job openings resulting from replacement needs have been particularly important for production and other blue-collar occupations.

Manufacturing and Structural Change

America’s global dominance in manufacturing peaked in the economic boom that followed World War II. Having led the world in manufacturing output since 1900, the United States was poised to play an even more commanding role on the global stage.\(^{19}\) The country’s manufacturing infrastructure, built up to support the war effort, stood ready to meet pent-up demand for consumer goods.

By the beginning of the postwar era, America’s industrial performance had risen to unprecedented levels. In 1947, the United States produced half of the world’s manufactured goods, 57 percent of its steel, 43 percent of its electricity, and 63 percent of its oil. In the same year, US citizens owned 75 percent of the world’s cars, and US companies manufactured 80 percent of the cars built.\(^{20}\) This swelling output was good news for the labor force: by the 1950s, almost one out of three American workers was employed in manufacturing, and many of the nation’s largest employers were manufacturing firms.\(^{21}\)

But the golden age of American manufacturing did not last. Even as growth in industry output and productivity fueled the prosperity of the post–World War II economy and helped create a vibrant middle class, productivity gains allowed manufacturing firms to churn out a growing volume of goods with fewer workers. Global competition also increased: Japan and Germany emerged as industrial powerhouses during the 1970s, and a rapidly growing China surpassed the United States in manufacturing value added in 2010.\(^{22}\) Together, these trends took a toll on the manufacturing workforce; by 2016, fewer than one in ten American workers were employed in manufacturing.

Changes in what people purchase and how things are produced drove manufacturing’s evolution.

Ultimately, the story of American manufacturing is grounded in structural economic change, reflecting the transition from an industrial economy based on factories to a post-industrial economy dominated by services. Big changes in how consumers spend their money and how firms produce goods have been at the heart of the industry’s evolution.

\(^{20}\) Carnevale, America and the New Economy, 1991.
\(^{21}\) McIntyre, “America’s Biggest Companies, Then and Now,” 2010.
\(^{22}\) Levinson, “U.S. Manufacturing in International Perspective,” 2018.
During the era of robust economic growth following World War II, consumers used their new buying power to purchase a widening array of manufactured goods and services. A key driver of this shift in consumption was increased productivity in manufacturing, which made goods more affordable and allowed consumers to increase their spending on services. In 1947, more than a third (36%) of consumer spending went to basic necessities, such as food and clothing. By 2017, this share had dropped to 9 percent, and consumers were spending more heavily on healthcare, recreation, and financial services (Figure 1).

These economic trends had important consequences for employment and skill demands. The services industries that expanded the most, such as healthcare, have workers with high levels of postsecondary

**FIGURE 1. Food, beverages, and clothing were responsible for 36 percent of consumption in 1947 and just 9 percent in 2017.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>1947</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>Change from 1947 to 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial services and insurance</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing, utilities, and fuels</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>-1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>-1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furnishings, household equipment, and routine household maintenance</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>-6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clothing</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>-9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food and beverages purchased for off-premises consumption</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>-18%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

education. At the same time, the manufacturing industry, with its comparatively less-educated workforce, declined in importance as its share of consumption dropped.23

The shift in consumption patterns occurred alongside changes in how goods and services are produced in a more integrated, networked economy. The combination of materials, goods, and services that are needed to provide a good or service, called a “production recipe,” has changed dramatically since the early 20th century. These recipes depend less on agricultural and industrial production and more on services, especially finance and professional and business services, than in the past (Table 1). Professional and business services in particular stand out because of the rapid growth of business-to-business services as firms have increasingly contracted with other firms for accounting, legal, and other functions that were previously performed in-house.

The food production network is a prime example of these changes in the creation of goods and services. Today, farmers (5%) and food manufacturers (7%) account for only a small fraction of the economic value generated in food production. A much larger share comes from other sectors, such as finance and other business services (19%), as well as the grocery stores and restaurants (28%) that join forces to deliver food to the consumer.24

**TABLE 1.** Finance and professional and business services industries make a more significant contribution than manufacturing to the production of goods and services across the economy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Industry Contribution to Production</th>
<th>Examples of Goods and Services Consumed within the US (Final Output Categories)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance &amp; Other Business Services</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Industrial Sectors</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail, Restaurants, &amp; Personal Services</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imports</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesale &amp; Transportation</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Resources</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Note: This chart includes selected examples of final output categories for goods and services consumed within the United States. Each industry’s contribution to production is based on an analysis of the chain of production for each good or service using 2007 data.

23 The shift in domestic consumption toward services is a theme in other studies. See Lawrence and Edwards, “US Employment Deindustrialization,” 2013.

These increasingly complex production networks have shifted employment toward workers with at least some postsecondary education. Business services and finance, which employ highly educated workers, now play a pivotal role in most production networks. In fact, employment in business services grew as a share of every production recipe between 1967 and 2007. Meanwhile, manufacturing, with its comparatively less-educated workforce, declined as an employment share of every production recipe.\textsuperscript{25}

**FIGURE 2.** Between 1947 and 2017, manufacturing dropped sharply as a share of all value added in the economy, while the services industries greatly expanded their share.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Industry</th>
<th>1947</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture, forestry, fishing, and hunting</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail trade</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation and warehousing</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other services, except government</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesale trade</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts, entertainment, recreation, accommodation, and food services</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational services, healthcare, and social assistance</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional and business services</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance, insurance, real estate, rental, and leasing</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


\textsuperscript{25} Carnevale and Rose, The Economy Goes to College, 2015.
Thus, the upskilling of America’s workforce is largely due to changes in production. As much as 80 percent of the upskilling in the economy since 1967 is the result of new production recipes, while the remaining 20 percent is due to broad shifts in consumer spending.26

As a result of these changes in consumption and production patterns, manufacturing yielded its role as the leading American industry to services in the second half of the 20th century. Between 1947 and 2017, manufacturing fell from 25 percent to 12 percent of all value added in the economy, while professional and business services jumped from just 3 percent to 12 percent of value added, and finance rose from 10 percent to 21 percent. Manufacturing had lost its position as the mainstay of the American economy (Figure 2).27

Manufacturing’s evolution is tightly linked to automation, globalization, and the growth of a networked economy.

Three trends have rearranged the economic landscape, creating a more technology-driven, networked, and globally integrated economy. Each of these trends was a double-edged sword, supporting the manufacturing industry’s evolution while contributing to employment decline.

Automation. The introduction of computer-based technology throughout the economy allowed employers to automate repetitive tasks and have greater flexibility in meeting consumer needs.28 The spread of industrial robots, which were first used in factories in the early 1960s, also led to productivity gains.29 The industry began to use robots more intensively as their cost dropped by at least half between 1990 and 2005.30 As a result, smaller production teams and fewer workers were needed on the factory floor. About three manufacturing jobs were lost for every additional industrial robot.31 Overall, estimates suggest that on the high end, as much as 88 percent of the decline in manufacturing jobs could be attributed to technology-driven productivity growth.32

27 Carnevale and Rose, *The Economy Goes to College*, 2015. Analysis of input-output data compiled by the US Bureau of Economic Analysis shows that the value added of manufacturing dropped and was, in essence, replaced by increased value added from services industries.
31 Acemoglu and Restrepo, “Robots and Jobs,” 2017. This report notes that about 80 percent of the industrial robots in the United States are deployed in manufacturing. It is estimated that each additional robot costs about six jobs in the local economy; within manufacturing and other robot-intensive industries, adding one more robot is estimated to cost about three jobs. There is also evidence that technological change is particularly rapid and pronounced during recessions. See Hershbein and Kahn, “Do Recessions Accelerate Routine-Biased Technological Change?,” 2017.
Increased investment in technology is linked not only to employment decline, but also to increased demand for workers with higher skill levels. As each wave of technological advances has automated repetitive tasks, workers have been expected to take on more non-repetitive tasks and acquire the technical skills to deploy new technology. In short, they must have broader and deeper competencies.\(^{33}\)

The most recent wave of innovation also is ratcheting up skill demands. Technological advances such as 3D printing, computer modeling and simulation, and advanced robotics are improving the manufacturing production process and facilitating the generation of new products. Companies that apply these technologies, which are often associated with advanced manufacturing, make substantial research and development (R&D) investments and hire highly educated workers, such as engineers, scientists, and computer experts.\(^{34}\)

**Networked economy.** Another trend that has influenced manufacturing is the growth of a more integrated, networked economy. In the industrial economy, many firms were organized as vast hierarchies and managed from the top down. This organizational format gradually gave way to the production or service delivery network, which integrates firms performing different functions to generate economic value. Manufacturing firms, like other businesses, have formed complex production networks that knit together suppliers and other firms across the globe. Manufacturers also are increasingly dependent on finance and business services firms to provide accounting, legal, and other specialized services instead of providing them in-house.\(^{35}\) The share of workers who perform professional and business services-related work within manufacturing has declined, while the share of those workers employed in services industries has climbed.\(^{36}\) This growth in domestic outsourcing alone can explain about 14 percent of the rise in services employment and 16 percent of the decline in manufacturing employment since 1947.\(^{37}\)

As networks became more prevalent, firms adopted new ways of organizing work that required higher levels of skill from their employees. Front-office workers with business, logistics, and financial skills were needed to manage far-flung production networks. In addition, starting in the 1980s, firms began to reengineer their workforces to streamline top-down management structures and to push decision-making authority to individual workers or self-managed teams.\(^{38}\) In some cases, workers were expected to perform a greater variety of tasks or rotate among different positions.\(^{39}\) These new ways of organizing work put a premium on cross-cutting skills, especially the ability to process information, solve problems, communicate effectively, and perform as a team.

---

\(^{33}\) Carnevale et al., *Three Educational Pathways to Good Jobs*, 2018. In addition, studies of technological adoption at the firm level have provided evidence of employment reductions accompanied by skill upgrading, which differs depending on the type of technology deployed. See Siegel, *Skill-Biased Technological Change*, 1999.

\(^{34}\) Muro et al., “America’s Advanced Industries,” 2015.

\(^{35}\) Manyika et al., *Manufacturing the Future*, 2012.


Globalization. Increased trade and heightened international competition also had an outsize influence on the manufacturing industry. In the post–World War II economy, manufacturing firms sold their products primarily to a large and growing US market. Since the 1960s, international trade has more than tripled as a share of GDP, rising from about 10 percent to almost one-third of the economy. While trade has expanded markets and provided consumers with greater access to affordable goods, it has taken a toll on manufacturing workers at home. Increased foreign trade and offshoring are associated with steep manufacturing job losses, especially in the years since 2000 when US trade relations with China improved. Nearly one-quarter of the manufacturing employment decline between 1990 and 2007 came from increased competition with China. The steep decline in the number of US manufacturing establishments, which dropped by 61,000 between 2000 and 2016, also coincided with China’s entry in 2001 into the World Trade Organization.

Manufacturing employment has declined as overall output and productivity have risen.

The combined effect of these three trends was ultimately bad news for manufacturing workers. While the number of manufacturing jobs in the post–World War II economy increased until 1979, it began declining in the 1980s. The overall level of employment recovered somewhat during the expansion of the 1990s before dropping sharply after 2000 and again during the Great Recession (Figure 3).

In 1979, at the height of manufacturing employment, each worker added $293,000 to manufacturing output; by 2017, this contribution had increased to $485,000 per worker. During this period, manufacturing employment also declined as a share of the American workforce, dropping from 22 percent in 1979 to 9 percent in 2017. This is the inescapable paradox of manufacturing: both industrial production and total output have grown and the industry has prospered, even as the workforce has declined and in some regions nearly disappeared (Figure 4).

In addition to output and employment, one important indicator of any industry’s overall contribution to the economy is the number of jobs generated for workers outside the industry. For example, jobs are generated in firms that provide raw and intermediate materials, products, and services to

40 Charles et al., “The Transformation of Manufacturing,” 2018. The authors argue that trade and technology investments are interrelated. Some researchers, too, have noted the difficulty in separating the effects of trade and technology as increased foreign competition and offshoring of certain stages of production can increase adoption of automation. See Houseman, “Understanding the Decline of U.S. Manufacturing Employment,” 2018.

41 Carnevale and Rose, The Economy Goes to College, 2015.


44 Georgetown University Center on Education and the Workforce analysis of US Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis data (real output per worker measured in 2017 dollars). Output growth reflects the increasing volume of production as well as official adjustments for improved product quality.
FIGURE 3. The number of manufacturing jobs has dropped since 1979, but the industry’s share of US employment has been in steady decline since the 1950s.

Source: Georgetown University Center on Education and the Workforce analysis of MANEMP and PAYEMS data from FRED, Federal Reserve Economic Data, Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis.

FIGURE 4. Manufacturing employment has fallen even as output has grown since 1991.

Source: Georgetown University Center on Education and the Workforce analysis of MANEMP, OUTMS, and PRS30006163 data from FRED, Federal Reserve Economic Data, Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis.
manufacturers. This indirect employment effect, sometimes called the employment multiplier,\(^{45}\) is often touted as a sign of the industry’s economic weight. Notably, manufacturing does not have the most robust employment multiplier: both utilities and real estate generate more indirect jobs than manufacturers of durable goods.\(^{46}\) Jobs also are generated when workers spend their paychecks at the grocery store, on services like childcare, or on consumer goods. The industry’s shrinking presence in many states suggests that manufacturing jobs are creating smaller ripples in the economy than they have in the past.

**Because of structural change, there is a new balance between production and non-production jobs in manufacturing.**

As overall manufacturing employment levels have fallen, employment has shifted away from the assembly line and factory floor and toward tasks not directly involved in production. While production workers form the core of the workforce, the industry also includes workers who perform research and development, design, sales and marketing, and customer support functions. These non-production workers, typified by managers, sales representatives, and engineers, fill front-office positions that are not directly involved in making things. As the nature of manufacturing work has changed, manufacturing jobs increasingly have gone to those creating, designing, and selling things as well as those working on the factory floor. This shift also reflects greater use of technology and technical expertise in all phases of the production process, often described as advanced manufacturing.

During the 1970s, manufacturing work was evenly divided between production workers and non-production workers. By 2016, two out of five workers (40%) in manufacturing were engaged in direct production and three out of five (60%) held non-production jobs.\(^{47}\) The most dramatic increase was for management, business, and financial operations workers, who tripled their share of industry employment from 6 percent in 1970 to 18 percent in 2016. Science, technology, engineering, and mathematics workers, including computer specialists, also increased their share of industry jobs, from 8 percent to 13 percent, during the period. Manufacturing work has, in effect, become more concentrated in high-skill, white-collar jobs and less concentrated in blue-collar production jobs (Figure 5).

This loss of production capacity has played out in different ways across manufacturing sectors. In 2016 the share of production workers ranged from 55 percent in textiles and apparel to 41 percent in transportation equipment to 22 percent in computers and electronic products. Sectors that invest heavily in research and development have retained non-production functions—such as research, product development, design, and marketing—in the United States while shifting production...
overseas. For example, Apple has concentrated highly skilled management and design work in the United States, but has shifted production largely to China through the contract manufacturer Foxconn. Other sectors, such as consumer goods, have simply reduced their production capacity at home.

The result of structural change in manufacturing, as in the rest of the economy, has been a new set of higher workforce requirements and greater demand for educated workers. The shift away from manufacturing and goods-producing industries toward services industries has ratcheted up the need for workers with some level of postsecondary education and training. Within manufacturing, the growth of complex production networks, the shift away from production jobs, and the spread of computer-based technology all put a premium on the knowledge and skills expected of workers with postsecondary education.


50 Carnevale and Rose, The Economy Goes to College, 2015.
At the peak of the industrial economy, young people could leave high school, even without earning a diploma, and land factory jobs that paid enough to support a middle-class lifestyle. Workers with a high school diploma or less can still find jobs in manufacturing, but times have changed. Workers with postsecondary education, especially those with an associate’s degree or a bachelor’s degree, have increased their share of manufacturing jobs, even in blue-collar occupations such as production.

Upskilling—the steady rise in educational attainment throughout the workforce—has occurred across the economy as employers have increased their entry-level and workforce requirements in the face of a harsher competitive environment. Manufacturing was one of the first industries to adapt to the new competitive landscape. Technology advances, together with innovations in manufacturing production process and product development, have reinforced the demand for educated workers.

Workers with postsecondary education now outnumber workers with a high school diploma or less in manufacturing.

High school used to be the primary pathway to manufacturing jobs. In 1970, 79 percent of manufacturing jobs went to workers with a high school diploma or less, with the largest share of jobs (43%) held by high school dropouts. Starting in the 1970s, high school dropouts began a long and steady decline as a share of the workforce, dropping to 9 percent in 2016. Workers with a high school diploma continued to represent more than a third of the manufacturing workforce, but their share declined starting in 1989 (Figure 6).

In 2007, for the first time, workers on the high school pathway made up less than half of the manufacturing workforce. In that year, workers with some level of postsecondary education became the new majority.

As the high school pathway to manufacturing jobs declined, the middle-skills and bachelor’s degree pathways became more prominent.\textsuperscript{51} In 1970, the middle-skills pathway (populated by workers with

\textsuperscript{51} Skill upgrading in manufacturing is a long-term trend that began in the early 20th century, if not earlier. A recent occupational analysis reveals that within the industry, the share of white-collar, more-educated manufacturing workers has been growing and the share of less-skilled laborers has been shrinking since at least 1920. There is evidence that growth in white-collar employment in manufacturing was underway as early as the 19th century. See Katz and Margo, “Technical Change and the Relative Demand for Skilled Labor,” 2013.
more than a high school education but less than a bachelor’s degree) accounted for only 12 percent of jobs, and the bachelor’s degree pathway (for those with a bachelor’s degree or more) amounted to just 8 percent. The middle-skills pathway’s share of the manufacturing workforce doubled between 1970 and 2000; since 2000, it has held steady at about a quarter (26%) of the industry’s workforce. The bachelor’s degree pathway became more important, representing almost a third of the workforce (30%) by 2016. As of 2016, however, workers with a high school diploma or less still made up the largest share of the manufacturing workforce, at about 43 percent.

These trends took hold as the total number of manufacturing jobs fell in recent decades. Workers with a high school diploma or less experienced the largest decline, dropping from 10.8 million in 1991 to 6 million workers in 2016, a sharp 45 percent decrease. Employment of workers with middle skills fell by 500,000, or 12.5 percent—driven entirely by a decline in the number of workers with some college but no degree. Workers with an associate’s degree, in fact, gained about 400,000 jobs, or 36 percent, while those with a bachelor’s degree gained about 800,000 jobs, or 24 percent.

52 From this point forward, we analyze trends since 1991 to allow for greater detail on the middle-skills pathway, which includes those with some college as well as those with associate’s degrees.
There are other signs of rising education and skill requirements among manufacturing workers. As the use of computers and internet technology increased in the workplace, the need for digital skills grew across most occupations between 2002 and 2016. Production occupations central to manufacturing have undergone upskilling due to the ongoing spread of information technology and the growing reliance on computers in the workplace.

Workers with postsecondary education have taken a larger share of both production and non-production jobs.

Upskilling in manufacturing is not just about the growth of jobs for white-collar workers with bachelor’s degrees: it also has affected production workers on the factory floor. In the early 1990s, workers with a high school diploma or less filled almost 80 percent of production jobs, which include positions for assembly workers, machinists, and production supervisors. By 2016, they still held a majority of those jobs, but their share had fallen sharply.

As the number of production workers with a high school diploma or less dropped, workers with some postsecondary education, especially those with college degrees, filled a larger share of production jobs. The number of production workers with associate’s degrees more than doubled between 1991 and 2016, rising by more than 300,000 workers. The number of production workers with bachelor’s degrees also increased by about 200,000 workers, or 67 percent. More than one out of three production jobs (36%) went to workers with middle skills or bachelor’s degrees by 2016 (Figure 7).

Upskilling within production jobs is likely the result of rising computer and other skill requirements that affect most occupations, as well as distinct trends within manufacturing. Analysis of online job postings suggests that production jobs have become segmented into general jobs with fewer requirements and highly skilled jobs, such as those held by Computer Numerical Control (CNC) programmers and production supervisors.

---

53 Georgetown University Center on Education and the Workforce analysis has shown that since the 1990s, new manufacturing firms that have been in business for one year or less have cultivated a more educated workforce, with a rising proportion of workers who have some postsecondary education.

54 Muro et al., Digitalization and the American Workforce, 2017.

Even more than assembly and production jobs, jobs for engineers, managers, and other non-production workers have increasingly gone to workers with more education. Workers with a high school diploma or less, who once held the largest share of non-production jobs, have lost the largest number of positions since 1991. They now hold 30 percent of these jobs, compared to 45 percent in 1991. Workers with bachelor’s degrees filled the largest share of non-production jobs (44%) in 2016, up sharply from less than 30 percent in 1991 (Figure 8). Middle-skills workers held slightly more than a quarter of these jobs in 2016, with the only gains achieved by workers with associate’s degrees.
The manufacturing workforce, once dominated by workers with a high school diploma or less, now employs workers with a diverse set of credentials and skills.

Because of structural change and upskilling, the manufacturing workplace now provides job opportunities for workers on each of three pathways. Workers with a high school diploma or less, who make up about 43 percent of the workforce, are clustered in production and other blue-collar jobs. Middle-skills workers, who make up slightly more than a quarter of the workforce (26%), are found in production jobs, but they also fill sales, supervisory, and management roles. Bachelor’s degree holders represent nearly a third (30%) of the workforce and are employed primarily in non-production jobs that go to engineers, managers, accountants, and sales representatives.56

**High school pathway:** Workers with a high school diploma or less hold a solid majority (63%) of production jobs, which still form the core of the manufacturing workforce. Production jobs include assembly workers, welders, machinists, and production supervisors. Workers with a high school diploma or less also fill 71 percent of transportation-related jobs (Figure 9).

**FIGURE 9.** Workers with a high school diploma or less hold the majority of production jobs, while workers with bachelor’s degrees hold most management, engineering, and sales jobs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation Category</th>
<th>High school diploma or less</th>
<th>Some college/associate’s degree</th>
<th>Bachelor’s degree or higher</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Architecture and engineering occupations</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management, business, science, and arts occupations</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales and related occupations</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office and administrative support occupations</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Installation, maintenance, and repair occupations</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production occupations</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation and material moving occupations</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Georgetown University Center on Education and the Workforce analysis of US Census Bureau, American Community Survey pooled data, 2014–2016.

Note: Percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding. See Appendix B for a list of detailed occupations commonly held by workers on each pathway. Estimates of occupational employment based on the American Community Survey differ slightly from those calculated from the Current Population Survey.

---

56 The shares of workers on each pathway do not sum to 100 percent due to rounding.
Middle-skills pathway: Middle-skills workers hold nearly one-third (30%) of production jobs and more than two out of five (44%) installation, maintenance, and repair positions. These workers also are more likely (24%) than workers on the high school pathway (12%) to hold management jobs.

Bachelor’s degree pathway: Workers with a bachelor’s degree or more hold nearly two-thirds (66%) of engineering jobs and more than three out of five (64%) management positions. They also fill sales, software development, and financial jobs. Workers on the bachelor’s degree pathway are less likely (7%) than workers on the middle-skills and high school pathways to hold production jobs.

Manufacturing is diverse and its various sectors are also divided, to a lesser extent, by education. Workers on each pathway are employed in large numbers in motor vehicles, machinery, furniture, and printing. Workers on the high school pathway are more concentrated in animal slaughtering and processing and in metals manufacturing. Middle-skills workers and workers with bachelor’s degrees are clustered in electronic component, aircraft, medical equipment, and industrial chemical manufacturing. The pharmaceutical industry and instruments manufacturing in particular employ large numbers of workers with bachelor’s degrees.
PART III

The Changing Structure of Good Jobs in Manufacturing

Downsizing and upskilling have changed not only the types of job opportunities available in manufacturing, but also the structure of “good jobs”: those that start at $35,000 per year with a median of $56,000 per year for workers without a bachelor’s degree.57 These jobs are still available for workers without a bachelor’s degree, but their numbers have declined from 7.2 million in 1991 to 4.8 million in 2016. More good manufacturing jobs are now going to those with more education.

The trends in manufacturing in many ways reflect those occurring across the economy, where good jobs for workers with a high school diploma or less still exist, but have declined sharply. Good jobs for middle-skill workers have grown since 1991, especially outside manufacturing, and good jobs for those with bachelor’s degrees have experienced explosive growth.

Good jobs in manufacturing have declined, and workers with a high school diploma or less have borne the brunt of the reductions.

The collapse of manufacturing employment has taken a heavy toll on good jobs for workers without a bachelor’s degree. In 1991 manufacturing accounted for 27 percent of all good jobs for workers without a bachelor’s degree. By 2016 its share had dropped to 16 percent. Of the 2.8 million good jobs lost by workers without a bachelor’s degree between 1991 and 2016, nearly all (2.4 million) were in manufacturing (Figure 10). These job losses were widespread, striking 38 states in every region of the country. The largest losses in absolute terms were in California, Illinois, Ohio, and New York.

Among workers without a bachelor’s degree, those with a high school diploma or less absorbed the heaviest losses. The number of good jobs for those with no more than a high school diploma fell from 4.5 million to 2.5 million (44%) between 1991 and 2016. The decline was broad-based: good production jobs for workers with a high school diploma or less fell by 38 percent, while good non-production jobs dropped by 50 percent. Meanwhile, the number of good jobs for workers with middle skills dropped from 2.7 million to 2.3 million, with a decline in the number of workers with some college but no degree and an increase in the number of workers with associate’s degrees. Workers with bachelor’s degrees bucked the trend, increasing from 2.8 million to 3.6 million (Figure 11).

57 For workers with a bachelor’s degree, the median wage income is $75,000 per year.
FIGURE 10. Manufacturing accounts for most losses of good jobs for workers without a bachelor’s degree since 1991.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-bachelor’s degree good jobs losses</th>
<th>Manufacturing good jobs losses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-2,754,000</td>
<td>-2,391,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


FIGURE 11. Manufacturing employment decline took the heaviest toll on good jobs for workers with a high school diploma or less.

The sheer size of the employment decline has changed the calculus of economic opportunity. Because of structural change, there are simply fewer good jobs available in manufacturing. In fact, the chances of a worker with a high school diploma or less finding a good job in manufacturing are half as high as they were in 1991, representing the sharpest decrease among blue-collar industries (Figure 12).

Losses of good jobs due to structural change in manufacturing have varied by race or ethnicity and sex.

Manufacturing employment losses were concentrated among White and Black workers without a bachelor’s degree. Whites, who hold the largest share of industry jobs, lost about 2.6 million good jobs for workers without a bachelor’s degree, or 43 percent, between 1991 and 2016. Blacks lost about 200,000 good jobs, or about 30 percent (Figure 13)—a loss nearly as substantial as the percentage decline experienced by Whites. Downsizing had profound consequences for Whites and Blacks,

In this report, we use the term Black to refer to people who identify as Black or African American and the term Latino to refer to people who identify as Hispanic or Latino. We use single terms for different racial and ethnic groups—White, Black, and Latino—to alleviate ambiguity and enhance clarity. In charts and tables, we use White, Black/African American, and Hispanic/Latino.
ranging from economic effects, such as lower employment rates and lower wages, to a host of adverse effects on housing, family formation, and overall poverty rates.\(^{59}\)

Latinos followed a different path. As their share of the manufacturing workforce nearly doubled from 9 percent to 16 percent between 1991 and 2016, Latinos without a bachelor’s degree gained about 200,000 good jobs, an increase of more than 50 percent. Other racial or ethnic groups also experienced a gain of 100,000 good jobs, or about 100 percent growth.

There are also differences in how men and women experienced the decline of good jobs. Men, who have always dominated manufacturing jobs, suffered the largest decline in good jobs for workers without a bachelor’s degree. The number of good jobs held by men fell from 5.8 million in 1991 to 4 million in 2016, a loss of nearly 2 million, or 31 percent. Women lost fewer good manufacturing jobs, as good jobs held by women dropped from 1.4 million to 800,000, but they experienced a larger proportionate decline of 43 percent. (For more on good job losses by race or ethnicity and sex, see Appendix C.)

The overall decline in good jobs had lasting consequences, especially for unemployed workers and their communities. Workers who have lost manufacturing jobs as a result of plant closings, mass layoffs, or a shift in demand have historically made up a disproportionate share of all displaced

And laid-off manufacturing workers without postsecondary education have faced a particularly steep uphill climb in the labor market. Compared to more educated workers, they generally are less likely to find a new job quickly after a job loss. In 2016, 59 percent of displaced workers with no more than a high school diploma were reemployed, compared to 63 percent of those with middle skills and 77 percent of those with a bachelor’s degree or more.61 (For more on displaced workers, see Appendix D.)

The structure of good jobs has shifted in favor of those with postsecondary education.

Downsizing and upskilling upended the structure of good jobs within manufacturing. Before 2005, workers with a high school diploma or less held the largest number of good jobs in manufacturing. But as overall employment dropped, manufacturing workers with a bachelor’s degree claimed a growing share.

FIGURE 14. Workers with a high school diploma or less, who at one time held the largest number of good manufacturing jobs, lost 2 million jobs between 1991 and 2016.


60 Trade Adjustment Assistance is the primary federal program that has provided income support, employment services, and training to workers who have lost jobs as a result of import competition. Recent evaluations have found that this program has mixed results for workers. For background on this program, see Stettner, Mounting a Response to Technological Unemployment, 2018.

number of good jobs, becoming the largest group of good job holders by 2007. The number of good jobs going to those with bachelor’s degrees increased from 2.8 million in 1991 to 3.6 million in 2016, or 29 percent (Figure 14). Nearly all of this increase was in good non-production jobs, such as those held by managers, business analysts, software developers, and industrial engineers.

The picture for middle-skills good jobs is more complex. Workers with associate’s degrees stand out as the only group of workers without a bachelor’s degree to experience gains in good jobs, with most of this increase coming from production jobs, such as those held by production supervisors. The number of workers with associate’s degrees who had good jobs climbed from 750,000 to nearly 1 million, or about 30 percent (Figure 15).

Overall, however, good jobs for those with middle skills showed a slight decline, driven by job losses among workers with some college, no degree. This decrease was mostly due to a drop in non-production jobs, which can be explained by steep losses in office and administrative support jobs that took place after 2000.
Manufacturing workers with a non-degree workforce credential are more likely to have a good job than those without one.

In another sign that knowledge and skills are increasingly valued in the manufacturing workplace, good jobs have gone to those with non-degree workforce credentials. A variety of industry and occupational certifications, licenses, and certificates have emerged in specific industries and occupations to signal the acquisition of job-specific knowledge and skills. Common credentials for manufacturing workers include American Welding Society certification for welders, National Institute for Metalworking Skills certification for metalworkers, commercial driver’s licenses issued by state departments of motor vehicles for truck drivers, and Manufacturing Skills Standards Council certification for entry-level and other production workers.62

These credentials often have labor market value; at all levels of educational attainment, workers who hold a certification or license are more likely to hold a good job than those who do not.63 This is especially true for those with a high school diploma or less: these workers are the least likely to have a good job overall, but they are much more likely to have one if they earn a certification or license (59%) than if they do not (41%). The same is true, though not to the same degree, for workers with middle skills. And even workers who have bachelor’s degrees benefit from certifications. A worker who has a bachelor’s degree and a certification is somewhat more likely (88%) to have a good job than a similar worker without a certification (85%) (Table 2).

### TABLE 2. Workers who combine postsecondary education with a certification or license are more likely to have a good job.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Educational attainment</th>
<th>Likelihood of a good job</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No certification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school diploma or less</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college/associate’s degree</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor’s degree or higher</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Manufacturing, despite its decline, is still a source of good jobs for workers without a bachelor’s degree.

Manufacturing has an enduring legacy as the preeminent source of good jobs available to workers without a bachelor’s degree. In 2016, despite decades of employment decline, it still provided the largest number of good jobs for workers without a bachelor’s degree, with 4.8 million, or 16 percent of all those jobs. It is the top industry for good jobs for workers without a bachelor’s degree in 35 states (Figure 16).

Half of jobs in manufacturing for workers without a bachelor’s degree are good jobs—about the same proportion as in 1991 (48%). But the pockets of opportunity vary within industry sectors and occupations. The aircraft (71%), chemical (69%), paper (69%), and steel (63%) manufacturing sectors offer the highest shares of good jobs. More than half of the jobs in the machinery (52%), machine shops (52%), and motor vehicles (51%) sectors are good jobs.

The types of jobs that have the best odds of paying well vary for workers on each pathway. Production jobs, such as production supervisor (63%) and machinist (55%), offer the best chances of good pay for

**FIGURE 16.** Manufacturing is the top industry for good jobs for workers without a bachelor’s degree in 35 states.

Source: Georgetown University Center on Education and the Workforce analysis of US Census Bureau, American Community Survey pooled data, 2014–2016.
those with a high school diploma or less. Workers with an associate’s degree are most likely to be in a good job when employed as a manager (84%), production supervisor (78%), or engineering technician (78%). Bachelor’s degree-educated workers have the best odds in high-skill positions such as software developer (96%), mechanical engineer (96%), and industrial engineer (95%).

At every education level, manufacturing workers earn more, on average, than workers in skilled-services and other blue-collar industries. This was true in the past, and it holds true today. Manufacturing workers with a high school diploma or less benefit from a large wage premium relative to workers in skilled-services industries (35%), but the gap has narrowed as manufacturing earnings have declined and those for skilled-services jobs have risen. The wage advantage relative to workers in other blue-collar industries is narrower (3%) than the gap relative to skilled services.64

For middle-skills workers, the difference between manufacturing wages and wages in other blue-collar and skilled-services industries has consistently been more than 10 percent, with a larger gap relative to skilled services. For workers with a bachelor’s degree, the manufacturing wage advantage has held steady in excess of 30 percent relative to wages in other industries (Figure 17).

**While good jobs in manufacturing still exist for some workers, overall economic opportunity has shifted elsewhere in the economy.**

Economic opportunity still exists for those who can get good manufacturing jobs, but the industry has lost much of its luster, particularly for less-educated workers. Workers with a high school diploma or less have lost their once-strong hold on good jobs, while workers with postsecondary education, especially those with associate’s and bachelor’s degrees, have gained an increasing share of good jobs. Workers who have a workforce credential that signals skill acquisition also are more likely to hold a good job than those without such a credential.

The growth in good jobs has occurred mostly outside of manufacturing—in other blue-collar industries, such as construction, and in skilled-services industries, such as health services (Figure 18). Since 1991, good jobs in skilled-services industries grew by 4.5 million, while there has been a decline of 500,000 good jobs in blue-collar industries.

---

64 Manufacturing ranks in the top five industries (out of 18 detailed industries) for overall median wages. It ranks in the top five for workers with bachelor’s degrees, the top seven for those with some college or associate’s degrees, and the top 10 for those with a high school diploma or less.
FIGURE 17. Manufacturing workers enjoy a wage premium regardless of education level.


FIGURE 18. Between 1991 and 2016, good jobs for those without a bachelor’s degree expanded in skilled-services industries, while those in blue-collar industries declined.

CONCLUSION

Manufacturing has begun to bounce back from the depths of the Great Recession. Industry output has resumed its upward climb. Manufacturing employment has also begun to recover, increasing by more than 1 million jobs since 2010, although it has not returned to pre-recession levels. But does this signal a brighter future? Some industry experts, exuding a new spirit of optimism, foresee a manufacturing resurgence in the United States, driven by reshoring of production capacity, rapid innovation, and fresh government policies. This is expected to include a burst of manufacturing employment as US-based factories resume production.

But an industry comeback, however welcome, would not lead to a dramatic return of the manufacturing workforce. Firms are able to increase their output without adding large numbers of workers. The industry now uses fewer workers and more equipment and technology to produce cars, engines, chemicals, and other goods than it has in the past. Due in part to these shifts in production, the industry’s labor share of output fell by about 20 percent between 2000 and 2015 while investment in technology increased. As manufacturing has become less labor-intensive, especially in certain sectors, the iconic large factory complex employing hundreds or thousands of workers has for the most part disappeared as a fixture in the economic landscape.

If the rapid technological change of recent years is any indicator, these trends could accelerate in the future. Innovative uses of technology in the production process are prompting significant changes in how products are designed and made. For example, engineers now use sophisticated computer technology to model the design of products and supply chains and even to simulate the entire production process before a factory is built or reconfigured. In addition, industrial robotics, which are already widespread within certain manufacturing sectors, are likely to advance, allowing for more highly automated processes and deployment of “collaborative” robots alongside workers.

In this context, a massive rebound in employment would be a reversal of long-term technological and economic trends that have substantial momentum. Projections suggest that manufacturing is not expected to be a major job generator in the future. In fact, industry employment is expected to decrease by 253,200 net jobs, or about 2 percent, during the next decade, while total employment in the economy is expected to grow by 8 percent (Figure 19).

---

65 Georgetown University Center on Education and the Workforce analysis of MANEMP, OUTMS, and PRS30006163 data from FRED, Federal Reserve Economic Data, Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis.


68 See Levinson, “Job Creation in the Manufacturing Revival,” 2017, for evidence on the decline of large factories.

69 For a description of the industry transformation expected in the future, see Bianchi and Labory, Industrial Policy for the Manufacturing Revolution, 2018.

70 Carnevale et al., Job Projections and Education Requirements, 2017–2027, forthcoming. While manufacturing employment is expected to drop, the industry will still be hiring due to the need to replace manufacturing workers who retire or leave the workforce. Historically, job openings due to replacement needs have been particularly important for production and other blue-collar occupations.
Not surprisingly, there will also be fewer good jobs in manufacturing. Good manufacturing jobs for workers with a high school diploma or less are expected to decline from 2.5 million in 2017 to 2.3 million in 2027. Workers with bachelor’s degrees also will have 200,000 fewer good jobs. Workers with middle skills, though, are expected to gain about 300,000 good jobs by 2027.71

After decades of industry transformation, the manufacturing workplace has a new look that bears little resemblance to that of the 1970s. Manufacturing is not just about making things. Economic value is increasingly generated through sophisticated research and development (R&D), product and production design, marketing and sales, and customer support.72 Production itself is being reshaped through new techniques, such as 3-D printing, robotics, and computer-based modeling and simulation.73 These trends, often associated with advanced manufacturing, have altered the mix of production and non-production functions needed by employers, reinforcing the demand for educated and skilled workers. The result is that there are still good jobs in manufacturing, but they are going to a smaller, more educated workforce.

This reality will force us to reset, and in some cases rethink, our national policies in support of manufacturing. The health of manufacturing will be measured not by the number of good jobs it generates, but by its growth in output and the value it provides to the economy as a whole. Going forward, we can take practical steps in four areas to bolster the economic vibrancy of manufacturing firms and workers:

---

Support research and technology development in manufacturing
Manufacturing has been an important source of innovation for the American economy dating back to the introduction of the assembly line in the early 20th century. Manufacturing firms, especially computer and electronics makers and chemical firms, now account for the vast majority (71%) of domestic spending on R&D and two-thirds (67%) of the number of US patents issued every year. The federal government currently supports technology development through the 14 Manufacturing USA institutes that specialize in fostering specific technologies, such as new types of materials and robotics. Each institute focuses on research that leads to new types of products and improvements to the production process. This support should be maintained or even increased with the establishment of additional institutes across the country.

Build the capacity of small and medium-sized manufacturers
While overall manufacturing output has increased since 1990 except during recessions, this growth has been uneven across the industry. Large, mostly multinational manufacturers have increased their revenues by 2 percent per year, but smaller firms, many of which are suppliers to big firms, have not prospered to the same degree. Small firms in particular benefit from better access to new technologies, as well as market development and workforce development strategies. The federal government should maintain or even expand its support for the Manufacturing Extension Partnership Program, a national network that provides technical assistance to these small- and medium-sized firms.

Build the manufacturing workforce of the future
The manufacturing industry now requires workers with higher skill levels than it used to. This is true of the engineers, computer scientists, and managers who perform pre-production and post-production tasks; it is also true of production workers on the factory floor who have to respond to new competitive requirements and deploy the latest technologies. As a result, career preparation for many manufacturing jobs has shifted from high school to postsecondary education. Many of the high school career and technical education programs that used to form a pipeline into manufacturing jobs have dwindled. The Strengthening Career and Technical Education for the 21st Century Act, authorized in July 2018, provides an opportunity for federal and state policymakers to rethink and rebuild career and technical education programs that start in high school and have strong connections to postsecondary education and, ultimately, good jobs.

In addition, policymakers should build apprenticeship pathways in regions where they make sense for local firms, colleges, and workers. While apprenticeships represent a very small slice of the American workforce (0.3%) and have yet to gain traction with most employers, they are a proven route to good jobs for those who can find them.

---

Improve worker transitions

While manufacturing has bounced back from the low point it reached during the Great Recession, it will continue to face occasional economic downturns. Manufacturing historically has been more sensitive than other industries to the boom and bust of the business cycle. Firms typically shed large numbers of jobs as demand for products declines during a recession. Layoffs also result from plant shutdowns, business restructuring, and sometimes the offshoring of production capacity. The economic pain due to job loss is particularly severe for manufacturing workers with a high school diploma or less. In 2016, 59 percent of high school-educated displaced workers were reemployed, compared to 63 percent of those with middle skills and 77 percent of those with a bachelor’s degree or more. Less-educated workers are also more likely than those with a bachelor’s degree to take a pay cut when they land a new job. Unfortunately, federal programs for displaced workers are either narrowly targeted based on the cause of job loss, like Trade Adjustment Assistance, or provide a set of discrete services rather than comprehensive adjustment assistance. A more robust adjustment package would include a wider array of employment transition options for workers, as well as help for communities that experience large-scale worker displacement.

Acting on these ideas will require a new understanding from policymakers and the public. The industry has often evoked anxiety and nostalgia for a distant era—a time when companies like General Motors were dominant and when high school dropouts and high school graduates could easily find jobs in factories or mills. But there will be no return to the days when manufacturing employment and the high school economy were at their peak. The industry has moved forward, and we need to accept this new reality.
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APPENDIX A
Data Sources and Methodology

American Community Survey (ACS): We use pooled ACS data from 2014–16 to estimate employment by occupation, industry, and education level within manufacturing. We also use ACS data to estimate employment by state. Industry analysis was based on 10 major industry groups.

Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA): We use BEA Personal Consumption Expenditures by Function data, 1947–2017, to determine the share of consumer spending attributable to clothing, food, healthcare, and other categories of consumer demand. We also use BEA Industry Gross Domestic Product data, 1947–2017, to show each industry’s value added or contribution to the US economy.

Current Population Survey (CPS): We use CPS data from 1971–2017 for workers age 25 to 64 to describe the composition of manufacturing employment and wage trends by level of educational attainment, industry, and occupation. The survey reflects information collected from respondents in the previous year. Wages are inflation-adjusted.

We also use CPS data from 1992–2017 for workers age 25 to 64 to describe trends in good jobs, using the 1992 March Supplement (reflecting 1991 data) as a baseline because that is the first year in which the CPS distinguished workers with some college but no degree from those with an associate’s degree. Since 1991, the CPS has presented workers’ educational attainment using five levels: high school dropout; high school graduate; some college, no degree; associate’s degree; and bachelor’s degree or more.

In 2015, the CPS began including questions on certifications (credentials issued by nongovernmental certification bodies) and licenses (credentials awarded by governmental licensing agencies). For this report, we use the 2017 CPS March Supplement to estimate the number of manufacturing workers who have a certification or a license and the labor market characteristics of those workers.

Displaced Worker Survey (DWS): The Current Population Survey collects supplemental data on the number and characteristics of people who have been displaced from their jobs during the previous three calendar years. We use DWS data to show the number, education level, and additional characteristics of displaced workers who lost jobs in manufacturing.

Federal Reserve Economic Data (FRED): We rely on FRED indicators that draw on data from Current Employment Statistics for information about employment, hours, and earnings based on employer records. We use FRED data to estimate the overall size of the manufacturing workforce and its share of the national workforce from the 1940s to the present. We also use FRED indicators to analyze trends in industry output and output per person.

Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages (QCEW): We use QCEW data primarily to determine the change in the number of manufacturing establishments over time.
A Note on Measurement Considerations

The data in this report are affected by a number of measurement considerations.

First, there are important differences between household survey data and employer-based survey data; as a result, the numbers may not match across data sets. Household surveys allow us to focus on prime-age workers (ages 25–64) and include a range of characteristics, such as education level. In contrast, employer-based surveys are not age-limited, and they do not include detailed worker characteristics.

Second, the types of establishments that are counted as part of the manufacturing industry have changed over time, which may affect the time series data generated by the national statistics agencies. The composition of the manufacturing industry was altered when the US Office of Management and Budget introduced the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) in 1997. While this change does not affect the general trend, it may explain some portion of the decline in manufacturing.

Finally, difficulty in identifying the number of workers at non-manufacturing establishments who are engaged in manufacturing-related work may cause the number of manufacturing workers to be undercounted. For example, workers at an industrial design firm that is not owned by a manufacturing company are counted as workers in the professional, scientific, and technical services sector rather than the manufacturing sector. In addition, the growth of contract manufacturing—in which firms design and sell products but are not directly involved in production—has made it difficult to distinguish manufacturing from other industries. For more information, see Levinson, “Job Creation in the Manufacturing Revival,” 2017.
APPENDIX B
Manufacturing Jobs by Worker Education Level

TABLE B1. Top 10 industries for workers with a high school diploma or less, 2005–2007 ranking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Detailed industry</th>
<th>Number of workers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Motor vehicles and motor vehicle equipment manufacturing</td>
<td>698,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furniture and related product manufacturing</td>
<td>359,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printing and related support activities</td>
<td>341,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machinery manufacturing, n.e.c. or not specified</td>
<td>302,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastics product manufacturing</td>
<td>287,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous manufacturing, n.e.c.</td>
<td>285,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal slaughtering and processing</td>
<td>276,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structural metals and boiler, tank, and shipping container manufacturing</td>
<td>241,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machine shops; turned product; screw, nut, and bolt manufacturing</td>
<td>196,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic component and product manufacturing, n.e.c.</td>
<td>193,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Georgetown University Center on Education and the Workforce analysis of US Census Bureau, American Community Survey pooled data, 2014–2016.

Note: n.e.c. = not elsewhere classified.
TABLE B2. Top 10 industries for middle-skills workers (those with some college or an associate’s degree), 2005–2007 ranking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Motor vehicles and motor vehicle equipment manufacturing</td>
<td>428,000</td>
<td>405,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printing and related support activities</td>
<td>220,000</td>
<td>171,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machinery manufacturing, n.e.c. or not specified</td>
<td>202,000</td>
<td>192,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic component and product manufacturing, n.e.c.</td>
<td>199,000</td>
<td>151,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous manufacturing, n.e.c.</td>
<td>138,000</td>
<td>141,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastics product manufacturing</td>
<td>129,000</td>
<td>116,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical equipment and supplies manufacturing</td>
<td>128,000</td>
<td>150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furniture and related product manufacturing</td>
<td>128,000</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft and parts manufacturing</td>
<td>122,000</td>
<td>170,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial and miscellaneous chemicals</td>
<td>114,000</td>
<td>116,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Georgetown University Center on Education and the Workforce analysis of US Census Bureau, American Community Survey pooled data, 2014–2016.

Note: n.e.c. = not elsewhere classified.

TABLE B3. Top 10 industries for workers with a bachelor’s degree or higher, 2005–2007 ranking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electronic component and product manufacturing, n.e.c.</td>
<td>309,000</td>
<td>289,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor vehicles and motor vehicle equipment manufacturing</td>
<td>265,000</td>
<td>271,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmaceutical and medicine manufacturing</td>
<td>265,000</td>
<td>313,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical equipment and supplies manufacturing</td>
<td>162,000</td>
<td>241,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerospace products and parts manufacturing</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>77,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer and peripheral equipment manufacturing</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>94,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machinery manufacturing, n.e.c. or not specified</td>
<td>136,000</td>
<td>158,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial and miscellaneous chemicals</td>
<td>133,000</td>
<td>147,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft and parts manufacturing</td>
<td>130,000</td>
<td>234,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printing and related support activities</td>
<td>127,000</td>
<td>104,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Georgetown University Center on Education and the Workforce analysis of US Census Bureau, American Community Survey pooled data, 2014–2016.

Note: n.e.c. = not elsewhere classified.
APPENDIX C

Good Jobs by Race or Ethnicity and Sex

FIGURE C1. Number of good jobs in manufacturing by race or ethnicity, 1991 and 2016

1991
- White: 8.5M
- Black/African American: 0.7M
- Hispanic/Latino: 0.5M
- Other: 0.3M

2016
- White: 6.0M
- Black/African American: 0.7M
- Hispanic/Latino: 0.9M
- Other: 0.8M


FIGURE C2. Number of good production and non-production jobs by race or ethnicity, 1991 and 2016

1991
- Good production jobs: 2.4M
  - White: 0.3M
  - Black/African American: 0.2M
  - Hispanic/Latino: 0.1M
- Good non-production jobs: 0.4M
  - White: 0.4M
  - Black/African American: 0.3M
  - Hispanic/Latino: 0.2M
  - Other: 0.0M

2016
- Good production jobs: 1.6M
  - White: 0.3M
  - Black/African American: 0.4M
  - Hispanic/Latino: 0.2M
  - Other: 0.0M
- Good non-production jobs: 4.4M
  - White: 0.3M
  - Black/African American: 0.5M
  - Hispanic/Latino: 0.7M
  - Other: 0.0M

FIGURE C3. Number of good jobs in manufacturing by sex, 1991–2016

APPENDIX D
Displaced Workers

FIGURE D1. Percent of displaced workers who were reemployed

Manufacturing workers have generally fared worse than workers from other industries in getting a new job after a job loss.


FIGURE D2. Percent of displaced manufacturing workers who were reemployed

Workers with no more than a high school diploma generally have lower reemployment rates than workers with postsecondary education.

Upskilling and Downsizing in American Manufacturing can be accessed online at cew.georgetown.edu/manufacturing.
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